App. F. IX-4

Previous References

192 9. (Inam)

File No. 66-29

Serial Nos. 1 5

Later References

Inam 175-29-1-4

Dept. no. 10 of 30-31

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for October 1929

SUBJECT

Treatment of the alienation of the inam lands endowed to Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Rangapur Tiptur Taluq

---

No 839 dated 5-12-10-29 To the Inam. Comr

The lands may be leased out for the maximum period of 30 years in favour of the persons in possession.

G. R. S. APP F. III—1] 1929.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Nazrat)

NOTES. File No. 66/29

FILE HEADING.

Disposal of Inam RS Nos. 6 & 7 of Vaddervalley Valg & 4 & 7—Vaddavalla 25 enivee & Sri Ranganatha Swamy temple at Nangapura—Kasba, Nipani Taluka—

FROM

Mr. — Jr. C.

No. appl. 4 Dated 12.8.29 Received

Diary No. 964	Enclos. 1 & 2	Spare copies —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommend for reasons stated, that the alienee Basavan may be allowed to retain the lands on payment of an annual Rental of Rs 25 or on a cancellation of the Inam tenure, the occupancy right may be sold for Rs 500, the Jari amount in the lease viz Rs 6-12-0 being granted as a State Grant from State Revenues.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Mr. R.D.S letter explains the facts of the case. The reasons for showing the concessions are that the alienee has been in possession of the lands since 1843 There is no information whether the question of alleged long possession, has come up before Inam settlements, and if so, how it was dispo-

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 01683—GPB—5,000—3-5-29

Serial No.

May Inam registers pertaining to these Lands be called for?

Submitted

AV
29/5/29

2 YD
31/8

3 DS submitted

AV
2/9/29

4 C.

2 To The Survey Supdt
No 5-5-4 dt 5-9-29
Calls for perusal either the original or copy of the Detailed Inam Registers re these Lands

Sws (W)
LWS
11/9

3 From, The Inam Supdt, Letter no 70 dt 21.9.29.
Submits the original Inam Regs pertaining to temple Lands.

(Original Inam Registers placed on the file)

5 The Lands were registered as Devadaya with the remarks that Pujari Rangiah enjoys both the Lands. This is intended for the support of the temple & the poojas performed.
Submits for orders on the M.C.'s recommendation in 81-1.

AV
29.9.29

6 As the pooja has ceased to enjoy the land, the land must be resumed. The Inam Commr may be moved in the matter. The Collr has no power to grant any amount to the temple. The matter may be expedited. Mr Sri

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 66 of 192 9

Serial No.

Branch will have to be consulted in this matter. The [redacted] remission of land revenue to the enjoyment by the Pujari on payment of a rental of Rs 19. per annum may therefore be approved

Recd) 28/9

28/9

1 The records show that the lands are Devadaya Inam, and that the temple to which they belong has been getting quite a small rent of Rs 6+3. per annum. There is no case of Kadiem tenancy established. The Dc's order of resumption appears to be correct. Only one of the affected parties Basavaiah has appealed to the Govt. Colachan the other individual Baswaliyappa has [redacted] & has not given up the land in his possession & evidently shown.

2 I do not think it is necessary to show any consideration to the persons in unauthorised possession who during the period of enjoyment have been benefitted at the expense of the temple. The grant of a lease in perpetuity on a rental of Rs 25 per year does not appear to be necessary.

3 As the institution to which the lands are endowed is apparently flourishing, the cancellation of the Inam tenure and the confirmation of the lands in his possession to Basavaya need not be considered, either. The Govt's alternative proposal to impose a fixed charge on Govt against an item of revenue which is liable to fluctuation with seasonal conditions.

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29. The lands may be resumed and the

M.C

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 66 of 1929

Serial No.

may, in his discretion, lease the lands - which are pasture lands - for the maximum period of 30 years and in favour of the persons now in possession if they are ready to pay a reasonable annual quitrent, [illegible] 28/9/29

OFFICE OF THE FIJI LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL 5 OCT. 1929 No. 2145

10 Ag. C.

Mr. 6.10

11 Asst

7/10

12 W. to the Secretariat together with a Dft. Returning the copy Deed Registered.

AV 10/10/1929.

13 Mem typed as revised

11/10

14 Typed Dft submitted.

AV 12/10/29

FINANCIAL SECRETARY No. 4617

15 [illegible] 14/10

16

17

1 To the Land Comm. C.O. 839 22-10-29 & suggests that the Lands may

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 1, 6 of 1927

Serial No.	
	[illegible] for 60 years [illegible] of the [illegible] possession [illegible] the [illegible] to pay a [illegible] annual [illegible]
5	Do Vide Income Asstt C 10840 D/ 22-10-27 Returns the original Income Duly

M. C. 13]

14 AUG. 1[illegible]
No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 192 ..

No. Appl 24 Enqd
28-9

FROM

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

15
AUG.
1927

To

SIR,

I have the honor to state that the applicant [illegible]

Vaddaramallenahalli village				
	a-g	Assmt.	Total	
S.No.22	0-22	3-12-0	[illegible]	
Re.S.No.38 garden.			Enjoyed Pasavaiah	
Vaddarhalli	a-g	Assmt.	Total.	
S.No.48	3-14	12-0-0	[illegible]	
Re.S.No.35 garden.			Enjoyed Pasavaiah & Paravalingaiah.	

[illegible] Janmadar of the village [illegible] found to be [illegible] the possession of the persons noted against each. Enquiry [illegible] how they came by these lands. Pasavaiah stated in respect of S.No.22 in his possession that he and his forefathers held the land paying to the temple Dharmadarsi Parameshwara Rs.0/- and odd ration of rice per on the land due to Government. He has produced copy of a lease deed of 1843 (enclosed in the records) given by Puravantara to Para[illegible] of Urihasappa, on condition of planting 8 coconut trees and paying proportionate cash rental on the no. of trees planted. This land is stated to contain 16 trees yielding 1000 coconuts and giving a net cash return of Rs.30/- a year exclusive of Rs.20/- for expenses. S.No.48 in the enjoyment of Basavayya and his brother-in-law Pasavalingiah in equal shares is similarly claimed by these as having been in their possession from a long time on payment of Rs.0/- annually

to the temple, besides the dues to Jodi. Copy of a decree of June 1884 passed by the Tiruvur Munsiffs Court, adjudging the rental of 3 years i.e. 1881,82 and 83 @ Rs.8/- per year has also been produced. In the enquiry of the villagers drawn up on 14-2-27 it is stated that the annual yield from the ---- coconut trees on the land numbering 30 to 40 in 2006 coconut the money value of each is Rs.100 at Rs.50/- a thousand. ---- Deducting Rs.30/- for expenses, the annual yield is estimated at Rs.70/-. In respect of this land the Amildar recommended that it may be left in possession of the present holders on -- payment of a rental of Rs.50/- per annum as the temple while with regard to S.No.38 a rental of Rs.25/- was proposed. The Sub Division Officer proposed resumption of the lands from --- the present holders. This was approved by the Deputy Commissioner. Parsaram in his appeal to this office urges long -- possession and prays not to be disturbed in virtue of the lease deed of 1343 and of the Munsiffs court decree of 1884. This an allert enjoys 1-7 of No.48 and the whole of No.38 i.e. 1-29 in the aggregate. At the hearing, the original document of 1343 was produced. Since he is in enjoyment of the lands for over half a century and prior to the Inam settlement even, it would be rather hard to deprive him of it now. I beg to recommend that he may be allowed to retain the lands on payment of an annual rental of Rs.25/- per annum or in the alternative the occupancy right may be sold to him cancelling the Inam tenure, on his paying Rs.500/- which will be placed in fixed deposit in Savings Bank, so as to earn an annual interest of Rs.25/-. In case the latter suggestion commends itself, I beg to add that the land account on the land viz.Rs.6-12-0 which the institution stands to lose by the resumption of the land, may be sanctioned as a grant to the institution from the General Revenues - which will be benefited to that extent.

care for reference [illegible].

I have the honour to be,

[illegible]

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Land
File No. 66-29
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 554

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

.....Mr. Braun Esqr.

Date of issue 5/9/29

Signed by M. P. Somasundaram

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for reference either the original or an authenticated copy of the Detailed Main Register pertaining to the grant of the marginally noted land as shown to Sri Ramanatha Swamy temple at Ramnapuram, Tiptur Taluk

I have etc

for Government Secy

Please copy the margin in P.R.D.7

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29

Office of the Superintendent
of Revenue Survey,

Tubes, Fractures [illegible]

From

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, B.A., L.T.,
Superintendent,
Revenue Survey & Settlement
in Coorg, PARKALOR.

Lng 66-29-8

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Coorg, PARKALOR.

Sir,

As desired in your letter No. 154/Luz.Sa-29-3 dated 5-9-1929, I have the honor to forward herewith the Inam register, in original, pertaining to the grant of lands to Sri Rangarathaswamy Temple at Rangapur, Kapur Taluk, in Vaddaramallenahalli and Vaddarahalli villages, and to request its return when done with.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D. Srinivasa Iyengar

SUPERINTENDENT.

No 839 — Income — Tenure
MRZ 66-22-4

[illegible]

Sir,

[illegible] to your letter No. [illegible] dated 12-8-[illegible] ~~requesting orders in regard to the~~ soliciting orders in regard to the ~~[illegible]~~ treatment to be given to the alienation of ~~that the alienation should not be allowed to~~ return the Inam lands allowed to Sri Kadimathasamy temple at Kurgodu, Dist. of Bellary, on an annual rent of Rs. [illegible] on cancellation of the Inam tenure, the occupancy right may be sold for Rs. [illegible], the said amount of Rs. [illegible] being given as a grant from the State revenues, I am directed to observe that the records show that the lands are Devadaya Inam from which the temple to which they belong has been getting ~~some~~ a small rent of Rs. 6 plus 3 per annum. First I consider that no case of Kadim tenancy has been established and that it is not necessary therefore to show any consideration to the persons in unauthorized possession who have already been benefitted at the expense of the temple and that. The grant of a lease in perpetuity on a rental of Rs. 25/- cannot therefore be sanctioned. As the institution to which the lands are endowed is apparently functioning, the cancellation of the Inam tenure of the lands need not be considered either. I am accordingly to suggest that the lands which are garden may be leased out for the maximum period of 30 years in favor of the persons in possession, if they are agreeable to pay a reasonable annual gutta ~~or resumed from him and leased out to others at a~~

Nor is the Cancellation of the Inam tenure called for in the circumstances of the case

may be resumed under the rule and that may

favourable annual part.

2. The records are returned.

I have &c.,

Finl. Secy. to Govt.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Section

File No.

Diary No. of letter replied to . . . . . Serial No

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Inamdar

Date of issue 21.9.25

Signed by M. K. Somerset

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith the original Inam Register pertaining to the Grant of Lands of Sri Rangnath Swamy temple at Kanya Jipheer Taluk, received with your letter No 70 dt 21-9-1925

I have etc

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by